



To: Honorable Mayor and Village Council

Date: July 3, 2013

From: Eve A. Boutsis, Village Attorney

Re: AIPP Modification
Ordinance for First Reading

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND VILLAGE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY, FLORIDA, RELATING DIVISION 30-160, "ART IN PUBLIC PLACES"; MODIFYING SECTION 30-160.7, TO EXEMPT SINGLE FAMILY HOME REPAIRS OR CONSTRUCTION FROM THE PROGRAM; PROVIDING FOR ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT, CODIFICATION, SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE. [Sponsored by Council Person Patrick Fiore].

BACKGROUND:

During the June 3, 2013, Council meeting, Council Person Patrick Fiore requested modification of the Art In Public Places (AIPP) Ordinance to exempt all single family residential properties from contribution into the AIPP fund. Thereafter, Council Person Fiore requested that this item be prepared for the July Council meeting. The Village of Palmetto Bay was the first local municipality in Miami-Dade County to implement a more extensive AIPP program which included a dedicated account for the funds collected from private sector development projects including but not limited to single-family home developments valued at over \$750,000. The proposed modification would eliminate single family homes from contributing to the AIPP fund.

ANALYSIS:

The proposed ordinance was reviewed for consistency with the criteria established in Section 30-30.7(b). The Background section provided above shall be considered supplemental information to this analysis and thusly shall be incorporated into each criterion delineated below. The following is a review of those criteria:

Criteria (1): Whether the proposal is consistent with the comprehensive plan, including the adopted infrastructure minimum levels of service standards and the Village's Concurrency Management Program.

Analysis: The project was reviewed for consistency with the Village's Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan does not address the AIPP program.

Finding: Consistent.

Criteria (2): Whether the proposal is in conformance with all applicable requirements of Chapter 30.

Analysis: The proposed modification of the AIPP ordinance would limit the scope of its applicability to governmental, commercial, mixed use projects and non-single family home sites. No provisions of the Land Development Code conflicts the ordinance as proposed.

Findings: Consistent.

Criteria (3) Whether, and the extent to which, land use and development conditions have changed since the effective date of the existing regulations, and whether the changes support or work against the proposed change in land use policy.

Analysis: Since the inception of the program in 2005, only one (1) single family development project of ten (10) homes contributed to the AIPP fund. The Village is 98% built out, thus limiting the likelihood of such developments on a large scale. Given such, there does not appear to be many development opportunities within the Village that would meet threshold establish by the program to warrant required continuation of the single family home construction element.

Findings: Consistent.

Criteria (4) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposal would result in any incompatible land uses, considering the type and locations of uses involved, the impact on the adjacent or neighboring properties, consistency with existing development, as well as compatibility with existing and proposed land uses.

Analysis: The proposed change does not alter in anyway the development standards for single family home construction regardless of zoning designation.

Finding: Consistent.

Criteria (5) Whether, and the extent to which, the proposal would result in demands on transportation systems, public facilities and service; would exceed the capacity of the facilities and services, existing or programmed, including: transportation, water and wastewater services, solid waste disposal, drainage, recreation, education, emergency services, and similar necessary facilities and services.

Analysis: N/A.

Finding: Consistent.

Criteria (6) Whether, and to the extent to which, the proposal would result in adverse impacts on the natural environment, including consideration of wetland protection, preservation of groundwater aquifer wildlife habitats, and vegetative communities.

Analysis: The proposed ordinance does not impact the above systems.

Finding: Consistent.

Criteria (7) Whether, and to the extent to which, the proposal would adversely affect the property values in the affected area, or adversely affect the general welfare.

Analysis: See Criteria (4) above.

Findings: Consistent.

Criteria (8) Whether the proposal would result in an orderly and compatible land use pattern. Any positive and negative effects on land use pattern shall be identified.

Analysis: See Criteria (4) above.

Findings: Consistent.

Criteria (9) Whether the proposal would be in conflict with the public interest, and whether it is in harmony with the purpose of Chapter 30.

Analysis: See Criteria (4) above. There is no conflict to the public interest. The request is a policy decision of the Village Council. The Village has the most extensive AIPP program in Miami-Dade County.

Findings: Consistent.

Criteria (10) Other matters which the local planning agency or Village Council in its legislative discretion may deem appropriate.

Analysis: As per the direction of the Village Council.

Finding: As determined by the Village Council.


FISCAL/BUDGETARY IMPACT:

To date, only one (1) single family development project of ten (10) homes contributed to the AIPP fund. The Village is 98% built out, thus limiting the likelihood of any such development on a large scale. Given such, there does not appear to be sufficient development within the threshold establish

Memorandum on AIPP Modification
1st Reading
July 3, 2013
Page 4 of 4

by the program to warrant required continuation of single family home construction element. The AIPP fund would be limited to governmental, commercial, mixed use, multi-family and other non-single-family projects seeking permits in the future.

RECOMMENDATION:
Decision for the Village Council.



Darby Delsalle, AICP
Planning & Zoning Director

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE MAYOR AND VILLAGE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY, FLORIDA, RELATING DIVISION 30-160, "ART IN PUBLIC PLACES"; MODIFYING SECTION 30-160.7, TO EXEMPT SINGLE FAMILY HOME REPAIRS OR CONSTRUCTION FROM THE PROGRAM; PROVIDING FOR ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT, CODIFICATION, SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE. [Sponsored by Council Person Patrick Fiore].

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Village Council of the Village of Palmetto Bay implemented its Art in Public Places (AIPP) program in 2005; and,

WHEREAS, Miami-Dade County previously implemented an AIPP program for governmental projects through-out the County, and which provides the minimum funding level of all governmental projects in incorporated and unincorporated Miami-Dade County; and,

WHEREAS, the Village of Palmetto Bay was the first local municipality in Miami-Dade County to implement a more extensive AIPP program which included a dedicated account for the funds collected from private sector development projects including but not limited to single-family home development valued at over \$750,000; and,

WHEREAS, the Village desires to eliminate the single-family home component of the program; and,

WHEREAS, in so doing, the Mayor and Village Council desire to amend Section 30-160.7.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE MAYOR AND VILLAGE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF PALMETTO BAY, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 30-160.7, of the Village's Code of Ordinances relating to Art in Public Places shall be amended as follows:

Division 30-160
Art in Public Places

* * *

Sec. 30-160.7. Applicability.

(a) *Government development projects.* All government development projects shall provide for the acquisition of works of art equivalent in value to not less than one and one-half percent

1 of the construction cost of the project, provided that no funds may be appropriated for this
2 purpose from the ad valorem tax operations fund.
3

4 (b) *Nongovernmental and private sector development projects.* All nongovernmental and private
5 sector development projects (including interior or exterior modifications, additions, or new
6 construction) including: parking structures, residential developments of more than two units,
7 mixed use projects, and/or commercial sites shall provide for the acquisition of works of art
8 in value to not less than one and one-quarter percent of the construction costs, for artwork
9 provided on site, or one percent of the construction costs for either art work provided off
10 site or a contribution to the art fund or some combination thereof, subject to the project
11 valuation schedule below:

12 (1) Project developments with construction costs exceeding \$250,000.00 shall be
13 subject to the provisions of this division.

14 ~~(2) A single residential unit (including interior or exterior modifications;~~
15 ~~additions or new construction) with construction costs exceeding \$750,000.00 shall be~~
16 ~~subject to the provisions of this Code.~~
17

18 (c) *Exemptions.* Nongovernmental and private sector development projects may be
19 exempt from this division by the village council, provided:

20
21 (1) The structure is intended primarily to house one or more social service
22 agency providers, affordable housing projects, or religious facilities; or,

23 (2) The project consists of the reconstruction of structures which have been
24 damaged by fire, flood, wind, or other calamity;

25 (3) Governmental development projects or portions thereof that do not include
26 buildings.

27 (4) Single-family residential improvements or original construction.
28

29 * * *
30

31 **Section 2.** All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this
32 ordinance are repealed.
33

34 **Section 3.** This ordinance shall be codified and included in the Code of Ordinances.
35

36 **Section 4.** If any section, clause, sentence, or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason
37 held invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, the holding shall not affect the
38 validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance.
39
40
41
42
43
44

1 Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon enactment.

2
3 First reading: _____

4
5 Second reading: _____

6
7 PASSED AND ENACTED this ____ day of _____, 2013.

8
9
10 Attest: _____

11 Meighan Alexander
12 Village Clerk

Shelley Stanczyk
Mayor

13
14 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

15
16
17 _____
18 Eve A. Boutsis
19 Village Attorney

20
21 FINAL VOTE AT ADOPTION:

22
23 Council Member Patrick Fiore _____

24
25 Council Member Tim Schaffer _____

26
27 Council Member Joan Lindsay _____

28
29 Vice-Mayor John DuBois _____

30
31 Mayor Shelley Stanczyk _____

32

33